NATIONAL TURFGRASS EVALUATION PROGRAM

The National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP) is designed to develop and coordinate uniform evaluation trials of turfgrass varieties and promising selections in the United States and Canada. Test results can be used by national companies and plant breeders to determine the broad picture of the adaptation of a cultivar. Results can also be used to determine if a cultivar is well adapted to a local area or level of turf maintenance.

Briefly, the NTEP is a self-supporting, non-profit program, sponsored by the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center and the National Turfgrass Federation, Inc. Program policy is made by a policy committee consisting of one member from each of the four (4) Regional Turfgrass Research Committees in the United States, one member from the Lawn Seed Division of the American Seed Trade Association, one member from the United States Golf Association (USGA) Green Section, one member from the Golf Course Superintendents Assoc. of America (GCSAA), one member for the Turfgrass Producers International (TPI), one member from the Turfgrass Breeders Association and an executive director. The program does not make variety recommendations. However, the data from tests can be used by extension specialists and others for making recommendations.

The policy committee is responsible for determining program policy including, (1) requirements for submission of entries, (2) scheduling tests, (3) evaluation methods, (4) selecting standard or control test entries, (5) setting entry fees, (6) coordinating tests in their respective regions, (7) establishing guidelines for publication and data distribution and (8) scheduling committee meetings.

Executive Director - Kevin N. Morris, National Turfgrass Evaluation Program, Inc.

CURRENT POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

- Dr. Melodee Fraser, Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.
- Mr. Paul Hedgpeth, Columbia River Seeds, Inc.
- Dr. Mike Kenna, USGA Green Section
- Dr. Doug Karcher, University of Arkansas
- Dr. David Kopec, University of Arizona
- Mr. Warren Bell, Biograss Sod Farms
- Dr. Ken Diesburg, Southern Illinois University
- Mr. Pat McClain, Burlingham Seeds
- Dr. Scott Ebdon, University of Massachusetts

FOR ADDITIONAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kevin Morris, Executive Director
National Turfgrass Evaluation Program
Beltsville Agricultural Research Center-West
Building 005, Room 307
Beltsville, Maryland 20705
kmorris@ntep.org
www.ntep.org

CONTENTS

2007 National St. Augustinegrass Test - 2007-12 data

LOCATI	ons	SUBMITTING DATA FOR 2007-12
NATIO	NAL	ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST, 2007 Entries and Sponsors
Table	A -	- 2007-12 Locations, Site Descriptions and Management Practices in the 2007 National St. Augustinegrass Test
Table	в -	- Locations and Data Collected in 2007-12
Table	1 -	Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Four Locations in the U.S. for AMMI Group 1 in 20084
Table	2 -	 Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Four Locations in the U.S. for AMMI Group 2 in 20084
Table	3 -	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at One Location in the U.S. for AMMI Group 1 in 20115
Table	4 -	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Three Locations in the U.S. for AMMI Group 2 in 20115
Table	5 -	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown in Location Performance Index (LPI) Group 1 in 20126
Table	6 -	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown in Location Performance Index (LPI) Group 2 in 20126
Table	7 -	Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Gainesville, FL
Table	8 -	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Griffin, GA7
Table	9 -	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Miss. St., MS8
Table	10-	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Raleigh, NC8
Table	11-	- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at College Station, TX9
Table	12-	- Genetic Color Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	13-	Spring Greenup Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	14-	- Leaf Texture Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	15-	- Spring Density Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars

CONTENTS (continued)

Table	16-	Summer Density Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars11
Table	17-	Fall Density Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	18-	Percent Living Ground Cover (Spring) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	19-	Percent Living Ground Cover (Summer) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	20-	Percent Living Ground Cover (Fall) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	21-	Winter Color Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars14
Table	22-	Percent Winter Kill Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars14
Table	23-	Brown Patch (Warm Temperature) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	24-	Gray Leaf Spot Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	25-	Take-all Patch Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	26-	Fall Color (September) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars16
Table	27-	Fall Color (October) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars17
Table	28-	Fall Color (November) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars17
Table	29-	Fall Color (December) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars18
Table	30-	Seedhead Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	31-	Winter Kill Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars19
Table	32-	Mole Cricket Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars19
Table	33-	Large Brown Patch (Cool Temperature) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Griffin, GA
Table	34-	Brown Patch Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Gainesville, FL
Table	35-	Brown Patch (Cool Temperature) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Griffin, GA
Table	36-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Gainesville, FL
Table	37-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Miss. St., MS

CONTENTS

Table	38-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass
		Cultivars at Raleigh, NC22
Table		Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at College Station, TX

A Guide to NTEP Turfgrass Ratings

Introduction

The quality and scientific merit of NTEP data is extremely important. However, the evaluation of turfgrass species and cultivars is a difficult and complex issue. Furthermore, turfgrass evaluation is generally a subjective process based on visual estimates of factors, like genetic color, stand density, leaf texture, uniformity and quality. These factors can not be measured in the same way as other agricultural crops. Turfgrass quality is not a measure of yield or nutritive value. Turfgrass quality is a measure of aesthetics (i.e. density, uniformity, texture, smoothness, growth habit and color), and functional use. The most common way of assessing turfgrass quality is a visual rating system that is based on the turfgrass evaluator's judgement.

General Considerations

Most visual ratings collected on NTEP trials are based on a 1 to 9 rating scale. One is the poorest or lowest and 9 is the best or highest rating. However, a few characteristics, such as winter kill or percent living ground cover, are rated on a percentage basis, again by using the evaluator's judgement. Most disease ratings found in NTEP reports will use the 1-9 scale, 9=no disease except where the evaluator made a judgement of the percentage of disease in each plot. Percent disease data will be found in separate tables and will normally not be included with disease data using the 1-9 scale.

Turfgrass Quality

Turfgrass Quality is based on 9 being outstanding or ideal turf and 1 being poorest or dead. A rating of 6 or above is generally considered acceptable. A quality rating value of 9 is reserved for a perfect or ideal grass, but it also can reflect an absolutely outstanding treatment plot. The NTEP requires quality ratings on a monthly basis. Quality ratings take into account the aesthetic and functional aspects of the turf. Quality ratings are not based on color alone, but on a combination of color, density, uniformity, texture, and disease or environmental stress.

Turfgrass quality ratings are grouped and presented by region, management level, a particular stress (shade, traffic, etc.) and in some cases, by individual location (starting with 2001 data, data from each location will be posted separately as well on the NTEP web site, http://www.ntep.org). Also available now is a summary table (Appendix) in the back of this report. This summary table includes various statistical measures not previously compiled for NTEP reports. For an explanation of this table and these changes, please go to the NTEP web site at http://www.ntep.org/pdf/grandmean.mem.pdf.

Other Ratings

More detailed information on the ratings of specific characteristics can be found on the NTEP web site at http://www.ntep.org/reports/ratings.htm.

2007 NATIONAL ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST

LOCATIONS SUBMITTING DATA FOR 2007-12

<u>State</u>	Location	Code
Florida	Gainesville	FL1
Georgia	Griffin	GA1
Mississippi	Mississippi State	MS1
North Carolina	Raleigh	NC1
Texas	College Station	TX2

2007 NATIONAL ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST

Entries and Sponsors

Entry No.	Name	Sponsor
*1	Floratam	Standard entry
*2	Raleigh	Standard entry
*3	Mercedes	Standard entry
4	DALSA 0406	Texas A&M University
5	DALSA 0602	Texas A&M University
*6	Captiva (NUF-76)	Univ. of Florida/Florida Sod Growers Coop.

^{*} COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE IN THE USA IN 2013.

TABLE A. Taken from the 2007 St. Augustinegrass test - final data report with permission from NTE AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST

* MORE PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT DATA FOR FL1 IN TABLE 36.

L(OCATION	SOIL TEXTURE		S01 PH		SOIL HOSPHOROUS LBS/ACRE)	S POTA	OIL SSIUM /ACRE)	NITRO (LBS/1000		SUN OR SHADE	MOWIN HEIGH (IN)	т :	IRRIGATION PRACTICED	
	FL1 GA1 MS1	SAND LOAMY SAN SANDY LOA		6.6- 5.6- 6.6-	6.0	- 61-150 151-270	151	- -240 -375	1.1-2 7.1-8 2.1-3	.0	FULL SUI FULL SUI FULL SUI	N 2.6-3	.0 TO I	PREVENT STEPREVENT STEPREVENT STE	RESS
	NC1 TX2	SILTY CLA SANDY CLA		Y 6.1- 7.6-		61-150 0-60	-	- 150 - 150	3.1-4 2.1-3	-	FULL SUN			PREVENT STEP	
TABLE B.						LOCATIONS	S AND DAT	A COLLEC	TED IN 2007	-12					
LOCATION	JANUARY QUALITY RATING	FEBRUARY QUALITY RATING	MARCH QUALITY RATING	APRIL QUALITY RATING	MAY QUALITY RATING	JUNE QUALITY RATING	JULY QUALITY RATING	AUGUST QUALIT RATING	SEPTEMBER Y QUALITY RATING	R OCTOBE QUALIT RATING	ΓΥ QUALIT	Y QUALIT	Y GENET:		LEAF TEXTURE
FL1 GA1 MS1	X	X	X X	x x	X X X	X X X	X X X	X X X	X X X	X X X	X X X	X	X X X	X X X	X X
NC1 TX2				Х	Х	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X		X X	X X	X X
TABLE B.	(CONT'D)					LOCATIONS	AND DATA	COLLECTI	ED IN 2007-	12					
LOCATION	SPRING DENSITY	SUMMER DENSITY	FALL	COVER	PERCENT COVER SUMMER	PERCENT COVER FALL		PERCENT WINTER KILL \	BROWN PATCH NARM TEMP.	GRAY LEAF SPOT	TAKE-ALL PATCH S	FALL COLOR SEPTEMBER	FALL COLOR OCTOBER	FALL COLOR NOVEMBER	FALL COLOR DECEMBER
FL1 GA1 MS1	X	X X	X	x x	x	X	X X		X X		X	X X	X X	X X X	X X X
NC1 TX2		X X		X X	X X			Χ		Х			Х	Х	Χ
		TABLE B.	(CONT'D)			LOCATIONS	S AND DAT	A COLLEC	TED IN 2007	-12					
		LOCATION	SEEDHE RATING				LARGE BROWN PAT NOV DE		BROWN PATO			ESTABLISHM P OCT NO			
		* FL1 GA1 MS1	X X			X	X X		X X	X	х	x x			
		NC1 TX2		Х							x x				
		+ MODE D	EDOENT FO	TABL TOURS	NIT DATA	EOD EL 4 TA		^							

3

TABLE 1. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/
GROWN AT FOUR LOCATIONS IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 1 **/
2008 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

	NAME	TX2	FL1	GA1	MS1	MEAN
	DALSA 0406	5.5	6.0	6.9	6.9	6.3
*	MERCEDES	4.5	5.2	6.4	6.8	5.7
*	RALEIGH	4.9	5.3	6.3	6.3	5.7
	DALSA 0602	4.8	5.3	6.2	6.2	5.6
*	FLORATAM	5.2	5.5	6.0	5.6	5.6
*	CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.3	5.0	6.3	6.7	5.6
	LSD VALUE	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
	C.V. (%)	14.3	13.0	11.0	10.9	12.1

TABLE 2. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/
GROWN AT ONE LOCATION IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 2 **/
2008 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	NC1
MERCEDES	7.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	7.0
DALSA 0406	6.1
RALEIGH	5.5
DALSA 0602	5.4
FLORATAM	3.3
LSD VALUE	1.1
C.V. (%)	12.2

^{*} COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE IN THE USA IN 2013.

^{**} ENTRIES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE ORDERED BY THE OVERALL MEAN AND HAVE SIMILAR TURF QUALITY PERFORMANCES IN ALL TEST LOCATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS AMMI GROUP. IF YOUR STATE IS NOT REPRESENTED, THEN CHOOSE AN AMMI GROUP THAT CONTAINS A LOCATION AND MANAGEMENT SIMILAR TO YOUR PLANTING CONDITIONS. FOR MORE INFORMATION ON AMMI, GO TO WWW.NTEP.OR/AMMI_Q&A.PDF

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 3. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/
GROWN AT ONE LOCATION IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 1 **/
2011 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM	4.7
MERCEDES	4.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.8
RALEIGH	3.7
DALSA 0602	3.7
DALSA 0406	2.2
LSD VALUE	1.5
C.V. (%)	25.3

TABLE 4. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/
GROWN AT THREE LOCATIONS IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 2 **/
2011 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	GA1	NC1	MS1	MEAN
MERCEDES	5.3	6.1	7.1	6.2
RALEIGH	4.7	5.3	6.0	5.3
DALSA 0406	3.7	5.0	6.4	5.0
DALSA 0602	4.4	4.6	5.0	4.7
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6
FLORATAM	4.6	3.4	2.5	3.5
LSD VALUE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
C.V. (%)	20.5	19.4	17.6	19.1

^{**} ENTRIES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE ORDERED BY THE OVERALL MEAN AND HAVE SIMILAR TURF QUALITY PERFORMANCES IN ALL TEST LOCATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS AMMI GROUP. IF YOUR STATE IS NOT REPRESENTED, THEN CHOOSE AN AMMI GROUP THAT CONTAINS A LOCATION AND MANAGEMENT SIMILAR TO YOUR PLANTING CONDITIONS. FOR MORE INFORMATION ON AMMI, GO TO WWW.NTEP.OR/AMMI Q&A.PDF

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 5. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/
GROWN IN LOCATION PERFORMANCE INDEX (LPI) GROUP 1 **/
2012 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	GA1
FLORATAM	6.5
MERCEDES	6.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.9
DALSA 0602	5.8
RALEIGH	5.1
DALSA 0406	5.0
LSD VALUE	1.4
C.V. (%)	15.5

TABLE 6. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ GROWN IN LOCATION PERFORMANCE INDEX (LPI) GROUP 2 **/ 2012 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	FL1	NC1	MS1	MEAN
MERCEDES	4.6	7.5	6.8	6.3
DALSA 0406 CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.6 4.3	6.9 6.7	6.4 5.8	5.6 5.6
RALEIGH DALSA 0602	3.7 4.2	6.7 6.1	6.1 5.0	5.5 5.1
FLORATAM	4.5	4.9	3.0	4.1
LSD VALUE	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
C.V. (%)	21.3	13.7	16.0	16.4

^{**/} ENTRIES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE ORDERED BY THE OVERALL MEAN AND HAVE SIMILAR TURF QUALITY PERFORMANCES IN ALL TEST LOCATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS LPI GROUP. IF YOUR STATE IS NOT REPRESENTED, THEN CHOOSE A LPI GROUP THAT CONTAINS A LOCATION AND MANAGEMENT SIMILAR TO YOUR PLANTING CONDITIONS. FOR MORE INFORMATION ON LPI, GO TO WWW.NTEP.ORG/LPI Q&A.PDF

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 7.

MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT GAINESVILLE, FL 1/ 2007-12 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
FLORATAM	3.1	2.1	4.3	4.9	5.7	5.9	6.2	5.8	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.8	5.2
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.0	2.8	3.8	3.6	4.0	4.7	5.5	5.8	5.7	5.0	4.4	4.5	4.6
DALSA 0406	3.2	1.7	3.5	4.3	4.0	4.3	5.2	6.1	6.4	5.3	4.6	3.9	4.4
MERCEDES	3.1	2.8	4.0	4.1	5.1	5.0	5.3	5.2	5.2	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.4
RALEIGH	2.9	2.3	3.4	4.2	5.2	5.6	5.4	5.0	4.9	5.0	3.9	3.9	4.4
DALSA 0602	3.3	2.1	3.4	3.9	5.0	5.0	5.2	4.7	3.7	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.3
LSD VALUE	2.8	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.1	0.9	1.2
C.V. (%)	53.5	42.6	28.9	32.4	27.5	24.3	22.0	21.8	25.4	23.8	25.2	24.0	22.1

TABLE 8. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS
AT GRIFFIN, GA 1/
2007-12 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	MAR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	MEAN
MERCEDES	2.7	5.8	6.3	6.7	7.0	6.8	6.3	5.4	6.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	2.7	4.5	5.5	6.4	6.5	6.7	6.2	5.9	5.8
FLORATAM	2.7	4.7	5.3	5.9	6.7	6.3	5.8	5.6	5.8
RALEIGH	2.0	4.7	6.1	6.2	6.6	6.7	5.3	4.8	5.7
DALSA 0602	2.0	4.7	5.4	6.1	6.5	6.3	5.6	4.8	5.6
DALSA 0406	2.0	4.0	4.5	5.3	6.5	6.5	5.3	5.1	5.2
LSD VALUE	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.3	0.9	1.5	2.4	0.9
C.V. (%)	23.5	30.8	27.3	20.0	16.9	11.2	23.5	31.2	17.7

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 9. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT MISS. ST., MS 1/
2007-12 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	MEAN
DALSA 0406	5.2	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.3	7.1	6.8	6.4	6.4
MERCEDES	5.6	6.3	6.5	6.6	6.9	6.9	6.6	6.6	6.4
RALEIGH	5.5	6.1	6.3	6.3	6.7	6.8	6.2	6.1	6.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.2	4.8	5.0	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.0	5.2
DALSA 0602	3.8	4.5	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.2
FLORATAM	2.7	3.2	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.0
LSD VALUE	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6
C.V. (%)	25.7	22.6	21.0	20.6	20.1	21.5	21.2	18.3	18.3

TABLE 10. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS
AT RALEIGH, NC 1/
2007-12 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	MEAN
MERCEDES	6.0	6.0	7.3	7.5	7.3	6.8	7.2	7.2	7.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.5	4.9	6.1	6.1	6.7	6.9	6.5	6.6	6.1
DALSA 0602	3.2	4.3	6.0	6.4	6.5	5.7	6.0	5.3	5.6
RALEIGH	4.5	4.9	5.7	5.9	5.7	5.4	5.3	4.9	5.4
DALSA 0406	2.7	3.5	4.9	5.1	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.3	5.0
FLORATAM	1.2	2.7	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.5	3.7	3.7
LSD VALUE	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.6
C.V. (%)	27.2	40.8	23.6	20.9	17.2	18.5	17.9	21.7	17.2

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 11. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS
AT COLLEGE STATION, TX 1/
2007-12 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	MEAN
DALSA 0406	5.7	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.3	6.1
DALSA 0602	4.0	6.0	6.0	5.7	5.0	4.0	5.1
FLORATAM	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	5.3	4.0	4.9
MERCEDES	4.3	5.0	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.7
RALEIGH	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.6
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.3	5.0	4.3	4.0	3.3	2.7	3.8
LSD VALUE	2.8	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.8
C.V. (%)	27.1	14.9	16.4	17.0	19.3	20.9	17.1

TABLE 12. GENETIC COLOR RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

GENETIC COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=DARK GREEN 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0406 FLORATAM RALEIGH MERCEDES DALSA 0602	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.4	8.0	7.2
	6.7	5.7	6.6	6.8	7.0	6.6
	6.8	6.2	5.8	6.7	7.3	6.4
	6.4	6.8	6.4	6.1	6.3	6.4
	5.7	6.9	6.4	6.3	6.3	6.4
	6.2	5.9	5.0	4.9	7.0	5.4
LSD VALUE	1.1	1.6	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.8
C.V. (%)	10.4	15.6	6.5	11.7	5.8	11.8

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 13. SPRING GREENUP RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

CDDTNC	CDEENIID	DATTMOC	1 0.	O-COMPLETELY	CDEEN	0 /
SENTING	UNEENUR	NATINGS	1-9,	9=COMPLETELY	UNCEN	4

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	4.0	5.9	5.0	5.4	4.3	5.0
RALEIGH	3.2	4.2	4.5	3.8	4.3	4.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.4	3.9	3.9	3.4	5.3	3.9
DALSA 0406	3.0	3.4	4.5	2.5	7.0	3.8
DALSA 0602	2.9	3.9	3.1	3.1	6.0	3.4
FLORATAM	3.2	3.7	2.1	1.9	5.0	2.7
LSD VALUE	1.1	1.7	0.9	1.4	2.4	0.8
C.V. (%)	18.8	26.4	15.9	26.9	27.6	22.6

TABLE 14. LEAF TEXTURE RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

LEAF TEXTURE RATINGS 1-9; 9=VERY FINE 2/

NAME	FL1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	6.9	6.8	7.7	5.7	7.2
MERCEDES	5.6	6.3	7.2	5.3	6.6
RALEIGH	5.0	5.7	6.5	4.0	5.8
DALSA 0406	4.8	6.1	5.8	5.3	5.6
DALSA 0602	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.9
FLORATAM	3.6	4.2	3.7	3.0	3.9
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	0.7 8.8	0.6 6.7	0.8 8.6	1.5 20.2	0.5 8.7
` '					

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 15. SPRING DENSITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

DENSITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=MAXIMUM DENSITY 2/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	6.1 5.8
RALEIGH	5.6
MERCEDES	5.6
DALSA 0406	5.3
DALSA 0602	5.2
LSD VALUE	1.3
C.V. (%)	15.2

TABLE 16. SUMMER DENSITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

DENSITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=MAXIMUM DENSITY 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES DALSA 0602	6.3 6.2	7.5 6.2	7.8 6.8	4.7 5.0	7.3 6.6
RALEIGH	6.3	6.7	6.4	5.0	6.5
CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0406	6.7 5.6	7.2 5.3	6.0 5.2	3.7 6.7	6.3 5.5
FLORATAM	6.3	5.5	4.1	5.0	5.1
LSD VALUE	1.3	2.6	1.4	2.3	1.2
C.V. (%)	14.0	26.2	14.0	28.3	17.3

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 17. FALL DENSITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

DENSITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=MAXIMUM DENSITY 2/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM RALEIGH CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0406 MERCEDES	6.2 5.9 5.8 5.8
DALSA 0602	5.4
LSD VALUE	1.3
C.V. (%)	14.1

TABLE 18. PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER (SPRING) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER IN SPRING: LOCATIONS 2/

NAME	FL1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	46.7	87.0	95.1	26.7	79.2
RALEIGH	21.7	87.7	91.4	26.7	76.5
DALSA 0406	38.3	82.8	73.1	41.7	70.3
DALSA 0602	36.7	58.3	86.0	25.0	57.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	51.7	60.0	74.3	8.3	54.7
FLORATAM	33.3	37.3	66.1	36.7	39.0
LSD VALUE	13.5	21.3	12.8	28.0	15.1
C.V. (%)	22.1	20.4	11.2	63.3	20.7

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 19. PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER (SUMMER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER IN SUMMER: LOCATIONS 2/

NAME	GA1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	84.2	97.7	36.7	81.0
FLORATAM	83.2	99.0	55.0	80.1
DALSA 0602	87.5	97.7	31.7	79.1
RALEIGH	86.5	99.0	35.0	78.9
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	84.2	96.3	21.7	77.2
DALSA 0406	72.3	99.0	65.0	76.4
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	23.1	2.6	31.3	14.7
	16.5	1.7	47.7	15.9

TABLE 20. PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER (FALL) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER IN FALL: LOCATIONS 2/

NAME	GA1
RALEIGH FLORATAM CAPTIVA (NUF-76) MERCEDES DALSA 0602 DALSA 0406	96.0 93.0 92.7 88.0 76.0
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	37.2 27.3

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 21. WINTER COLOR RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

WINTER COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MEAN
MERCEDES FLORATAM	3.5 3.4	2.7 2.7	3.7 3.6
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.0	2.7	3.1
DALSA 0406	3.0	2.0	3.1
RALEIGH	2.9	2.0	3.1
DALSA 0602	2.4	2.0	2.6
LSD VALUE	1.0	0.9	0.9
C.V. (%)	21.3	24.7	21.1

TABLE 22. PERCENT WINTER KILL RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

PERCENT WINTER KILL RATINGS: LOCATIONS 2/ 3/

NAME	NC1
FLORATAM DALSA 0406 CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0602 RALEIGH MERCEDES	92.8 71.7 50.0 39.4 32.2 18.4
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	18.4 22.1

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

^{3/} WINTER COLOR RATED AT "FL1" IN 2009, 2011-12 & AT "GA1" IN 2011, AND PERCENT WINTER KILL RATED IN 2008-09 & 2011 .

TABLE 23. BROWN PATCH (WARM TEMPERATURE) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MEAN	
DALSA 0602 CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.3 4.7	4.7 5.0	4.8 4.5	
DALSA 0406	•	4.5	4.5	
FLORATAM RALEIGH	6.3 4.0	4.8 4.5	4.5 4.3	
MERCEDES	3.0	4.5	3.8	
LSD VALUE	2.2 28.6	3.0 45.0	2.6 45.1	
C.V. (%)	20.0	45.0	45.1	

TABLE 24. GRAY LEAF SPOT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

GRAY LEAF SPOT RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/ 3/

NAME	NC1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	8.0
DALSA 0602	8.0
DALSA 0406	7.7
MERCEDES	7.0
RALEIGH	7.0
FLORATAM	4.0
LSD VALUE	1.8
C.V. (%)	15.9

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

^{3/} BROWN PATCH RATED AT "FL1" IN 2011 & AT "GA1" IN 2010-11, AND GRAY LEAF SPOT RATED IN 2008.

TABLE 25. TAKE-ALL RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

TAKE-ALL PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM MERCEDES RALEIGH DALSA 0602 CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	7.0 5.0 5.0 4.3 3.3
DALSA 0406 LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	3.0 1.3 17.0

TABLE 26. FALL COLOR (SEPTEMBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	7.2	7.3	7.4
RALEIGH	5.8	7.1	6.9
FLORATAM	6.2	6.9	6.8
MERCEDES	5.4	7.0	6.7
DALSA 0406	7.0	6.6	6.6
DALSA 0602	3.7	6.6	6.0
LSD VALUE	1.5	1.0	1.0
C.V. (%)	16.0	9.3	11.0

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

^{3/} TAKE-ALL RATED IN 2011 ONLY.

TABLE 27. FALL COLOR (OCTOBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	NC1	MEAN
FLORATAM CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.7 5.7	6.5 6.3	6.8 6.9	6.4 6.4
DALSA 0602	3.8	5.8	8.1	6.3
MERCEDES	4.7	6.7	6.2	6.1
DALSA 0406	3.7	5.6	6.8	5.8
RALEIGH	5.2	5.8	4.4	5.2
LSD VALUE	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.1
C.V. (%)	15.6	15.9	14.7	16.3

TABLE 28. FALL COLOR (NOVEMBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	MEAN
DALSA 0602 MERCEDES	4.4 5.0	5.2 5.4	4.0 7.0	7.2 6.6	5.9 5.9
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.5	5.3	3.0	6.4	5.9
FLORATAM DALSA 0406	5.8 4.9	5.2 4.9	4.7 5.0	5.4 5.9	5.6 5.5
RALEIGH	4.8	4.5	6.0	4.6	4.7
LSD VALUE	1.4	1.7	0.4	1.1	0.9
C.V. (%)	15.9	23.0	4.8	12.0	15.5

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 29. FALL COLOR (DECEMBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	MEAN
MERCEDES	4.5	2.3	6.0	5.3	4.9
DALSA 0602	4.4	2.0	3.0	4.7	4.7
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.6	2.0	4.0	5.1	4.6
FLORATAM	6.2	2.0	3.0	2.7	4.2
DALSA 0406	5.0	2.0	5.0	3.9	4.1
RALEIGH	3.8	2.0	4.7	3.5	3.7
LSD VALUE	1.7	0.4	0.4	1.2	1.2
C.V. (%)	21.1	11.5	5.8	18.2	19.1

TABLE 30. SEEDHEAD RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

SEEDHEAD RATINGS 1-9; 9=NONE 2/

NAME	GA1	MS1	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0602 FLORATAM MERCEDES DALSA 0406 RALEIGH	7.7	7.2	7.2
	5.3	7.2	7.1
	5.3	7.1	6.9
	8.3	5.7	6.2
	3.0	6.1	5.6
	4.7	4.3	4.4
LSD VALUE	3.2	0.8	1.1
C.V. (%)	34.7	8.1	13.9

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 31. WINTER KILL RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

WINTER KILL RATINGS 1-9; 9=NONE 2/ 3/

NAME	NC1
MERCEDES	7.3
RALEIGH DALSA 0602	7.3 5.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.3
FLORATAM	4.3
DALSA 0406	2.3
LSD VALUE	2.6
C.V. (%)	31.6

TABLE 32. MOLE CRICKET DAMAGE RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/2007-12 DATA

MOLE CRICKET DAMAGE RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DAMAGE 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1
RALEIGH CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0406 DALSA 0602 FLORATAM MERCEDES	9.0 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.3
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	0.8 6.1

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

^{3/} WINTER KILL RAT RATED IN 2010 AND MOLE CRICKET DAMAGE RATED IN 2009.

Taken from the 2007 St. Augustinegrass test - final data report with permission from NTEP ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS

AT GAINESVILLE, FL 1/
2012 DATA

LARGE BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/

NAME	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	6.3	7.0	6.7
DALSA 0406	6.0	6.0	6.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.3	4.3	4.8
MERCEDES	4.5	5.0	4.8
DALSA 0602	4.7	4.0	4.3
RALEIGH	3.7	3.7	3.7
LSD VALUE	2.4	2.4	2.2
C.V. (%)	18.9	19.7	18.1

TABLE 34. BROWN PATCH RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT GAINESVILLE, FL 1/
2009 DATA

BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/

NAME	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	8.3	7.3	7.8
MERCEDES	4.7	5.3	5.0
RALEIGH	4.0	5.3	4.7
DALSA 0602	3.0	4.3	3.7
DALSA 0406	3.0	4.0	3.5
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.3	3.0	3.2
LSD VALUE	1.8	1.5	1.0
C.V. (%)	22.7	16.6	12.4

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

Taken from the 2007 St. Augustinegrass test final data report with permission from NTEP RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT GRIFFIN, GA 1/ 2011 DATA

BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/

NAME	SEPTEMBER	NOVEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	7.0	5.3	6.2
CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0406	5.7 6.0	5.3 5.0	5.5 5.5
DALSA 0602 MERCEDES	4.7 5.3	4.3 3.7	4.5 4.5
RALEIGH	3.7	2.7	3.2
LSD VALUE	4.9	3.8	4.1
C.V. (%)	38.0	37.8	36.1

TABLE 36.

PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ AT GAINESVILLE, FL 2/ 2007-08 DATA

NAME	NOVEMBER 2007	DECEMBER 2007	JANUARY 2008	FEBRUARY 2008	MARCH 2008	APRIL 2008	MAY 2008	JUNE 2008	JULY 2008	AUGUST 2008	SEPTEMBER 2008	MEAN
FLORATAM	15.0	25.0	30.0	28.3	33.3	76.7	83.3	93.3	96.3	97.7	99	61.6
DALSA 0406	11.7	21.7	25.0	28.3	28.3	66.7	80.0	94.7	97.7	99.0	99	59.3
DALSA 0602	10.0	13.3	21.7	21.7	23.3	53.3	60.0	83.3	91.7	96.3	99	52.2
RALEIGH	5.0	10.0	18.3	18.3	21.7	50.0	63.3	88.3	90.0	94.3	99	50.8
MERCEDES	10.0	13.3	18.3	18.3	25.0	46.7	53.3	73.3	83.3	88.3	99	48.1
CAPTIVA (NUF	7-76) 6.7	10.0	16.7	18.3	20.0	33.3	50.0	76.7	83.3	91.7	99	46.0
LSD VALUE	2.6	7.9	5.5	9.8	8.4	18.4	16.1	14.0	12.1	10.4	0	5.9
C.V. (%)	15.3	27.3	14.0	21.6	16.8	18.3	13.5	8.4	6.6	5.1	0	6.2

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 37. PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/
AT MISS. ST., MS 2/
2007 DATA

NAME SEPTEMBER OCTOBER NOVEMBER MEAN FLORATAM 61.7 93.3 98.0 84.3 85.0 93.3 DALSA 0406 50.0 76.1 81.7 72.2 RALEIGH 45.0 90.0 DALSA 0602 41.7 76.7 90.0 69.4 CAPTIVA (NUF-76) 41.7 75.0 88.3 68.3 MERCEDES 40.0 75.0 88.3 67.8 LSD VALUE 16.4 12.3 9.7 11.4 C.V. (%) 17.2 7.7 4.9 7.8

TABLE 38. PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ AT RALEIGH, NC 2/ 2007 DATA

NAME	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	75.0	92.7	96.0	87.9
DALSA 0406	78.3	84.7	91.0	84.7
MERCEDES	63.3	73.3	84.7	73.8
RALEIGH	51.7	70.0	83.0	68.2
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	46.7	60.0	76.7	61.1
DALSA 0602	38.3	60.0	76.7	58.3
LSD VALUE	21.3	32.4	26.7	25.0
C.V. (%)	19.2	20.6	13.8	16.8

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 39. PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ AT COLLEGE STATION, TX 2/ 2007 DATA

NAME	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER
FLORATAM DALSA 0406	12.3 6.3	17.7 11.7	22.7 18.3	25.0 23.3
RALEIGH	7.0	12.3	16.0	19.3
DALSA 0602	8.7	11.3	11.3	16.7
MERCEDES	5.7	8.0	9.3	13.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.7	7.3	7.0	8.3
LSD VALUE	6.3	11.3	21.2	21.2
C.V. (%)	38.5	44.3	65.2	52.6

^{1/} TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

^{2/} C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.